

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,019		12/20/2001	Ralph H. Johnson	15436.436.3	6105
22913	7590	09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
WORKM	AN NYI	DEGGER	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
(F/K/A WC	RKMA	N NYDEGGER &	SEELEY)		
60 EAST S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGI	LE GATI	E TOWER	2828		
SALT LAK	E CITY	, UT 84111			_

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			AK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/026,019	JOHNSON, RALP	, RALPH H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status	•						
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ag</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Egyptimes.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9,14-16,18,21-30 and 32-34 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 30,33 and 34 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9,14-16,18,21-29 and 32 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. e rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the c	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/03/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	D-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 14-16, 18, 21-29, and 32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jiang et al. (6642070).

With respect to claim 1, Jiang show in Fig.1 a vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL), comprising: an active region 108 further comprising at least one quantum well 107 comprising InGaAsN and including barrier layers 109 sandwiching said at least one quantum well, the barrier layers including nitrogen (col.3, 1.57); and confinement layers 106 and 110 sandwiching said active region, wherein the barrier layers are comprised of material that reduces a level of non-confining valence band discontinuity in the quantum well due to the presence of nitrogen in the quantum well (it is inherent that nitrogen existed in the barrier layers could perform the function as recited in the claim).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,019

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 2 and 15, col.3, 1.57 discloses the GaAsN barrier layers.

With respect to claim 14, col.3, 1.53 discloses the quantum well further comprising Sb.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 9, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang (6642070) in view of Sun et al. (6567448). Jiang disclose all limitations of the claims except for the AlGaAs confinement layers.

Sun teach the AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching the quantum well (col.3, 1.51-55).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Jiang what is taught by Sun to provide carrier confinement and to produce photon emission and optical amplification within the VCSEL (col.5, 1.9-15).

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang (6642070) in view of Ouchi (6046096).

With respect to claim 5, Jiang disclose all limitations of the claim except for > 1% N. Ouchi teaches approximately 1% N (col.2, 1.40-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,019

Art Unit: 2828

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Jiang what is taught by Ouchi in order to have a VCSEL to be used in a long wavelength (col.2, 1.39-40).

With respect to claims 6-7, Ouchi teaches the thickness of the InGaAsN quantum well (col.7, 1.38).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Jiang what is taught by Ouchi to employ the peak wavelength of the InGaAsN quantum well to shift to a longer wavelength (col.7, 1.40-48).

Claims 21-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi (6046096) in view of Sun et al. (6567448).

With respect to claims 21-22, 24-25, and 28, Ouchi discloses an active region further comprising at least one quantum well comprised of InGaAsN and including AlGaAs barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well (col.1, 1.34-36).

Ouchi lacks AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Sun teach AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region (col.3, 1.50-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ouchi what is taught by Sun to provide carrier confinement and to produce photon emission and optical amplification within the VCSEL (col.5, 1.9-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,019

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 23 and 27, Ouchi discloses the thickness of the InGaAsN quantum well (col.7, 1.38).

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi (6046096) in view of Sun et al. (6567448) and further in view of Riechert et al. (2003/0179792). Ouchi and Sun disclose all limitations of the claim except for the InGaAsN barrier layers.

Riechert teach the InGaAsN barrier layers (para.0004, last two lines).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ouchi and Sun what is taught by Riechert to employ an alternative semiconductor material for the barrier layers.

Claims 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riechert et al. (2003/0179792) in view of Sun et al. (6567448). Riechert a vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL), comprising: an active region further comprising at least one quantum well comprised of InGaAsN and including InGaAs (para.0002) or GaAsN (para.0010) barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well.

Riechert lack AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Sun teach AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region (col.3, 1.50-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Riechert what is taught by Sun to provide carrier confinement and to produce photon emission and optical amplification within the VCSEL (col.5, 1.9-15).

Art Unit: 2828

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 30 and 33 are allowed over Ouchi, Jiang, Riechert, and Sun prior art because they fail to teach the limitation of GaAsN confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Claim 34 is allowed over Ouchi, Jiang, Riechert, and Sun prior art because they fail to teach the limitation of a flattening layer interposed between the lower confinement layer and the at least one quantum well.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

JAMES

Michael Dung Nguyen